FULL PAPER

Reductive Degradation of *nido*-1-CB₈H₁₂ into Smaller-Cage Carborane Systems via New Monocarbaboranes $[arachno-5-CB_8H_{13}]^-$ and *closo*-2-CB₆H₈

Mario Bakardjiev, Josef Holub, Drahomír Hnyk, and Bohumil Štíbr*^[a]

Abstract: Treatment of the *nido*-1-CB₈H₁₂ (1) carborane with NaBH₄ in THF at ambient temperature led to the isolation of the stable [*arachno*-5-CB₈H₁₃]⁻ (2⁻), which was isolated as Na⁺[5-CB₈H₁₃]⁻ 1.5 THF and PPh₄⁺[5-CB₈H₁₃]⁻ in almost quantitative yield. Compound 2⁻ underwent a boron-degradation reaction with concentrated hydrochloric acid to afford the *arachno*-4-CB₇H₁₃ (3) carborane in 70% yield, whereas reaction between 2⁻ and excess phenyl acetylene in refluxing

Introduction

Recent developments in the chemistry of monocarbaboranes, namely the degradative carbon insertion into decaborane(14),^[1] have led to improved synthetic routes to the ninevertex monocarbaboranes [closo-4-CB₈H₉]⁻, nido-1-CB₈H₁₂, arachno-4-CB₈H₁₄, and their C-phenyl analogues.^[2] Encouraged by these developments, we have just recently set for systematic studies in the chemistry of nido-1-CB₈H₁₂. Although this carborane has been known for thirty years,^[3] not too much work on this interesting species has so far been reported. This compound has been used as a starting material for the synthesis of the *closo* anions $[1-CB_6H_7]^-$, $CB_7H_8]^-$, and $[4-CB_8H_9]^-$ and ligand (L) derivatives 6-Larachno-5-CB₈H₁₂.^[1,4,5] In this work we report an extension of the 1-CB₈H₁₂ chemistry that resulted in a high-yield preparation of new carboranes $[arachno-5-CB_8H_{13}]^-$ and closo-2- CB_6H_8 together with new syntheses of carboranes [*closo-2*-

 [a] Dr. M. Bakardjiev, Dr. J. Holub, Dr. D. Hnyk, Prof. Dr. B. Štíbr Institute of Inorganic Chemistry Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic CZ-250 68, Rež, (The Czech Republic) Fax: (+420)220-941-502 E-mail: stibr@iic.cas.cz

THF gave the $[closo-2-CB_6H_7]^-$ (4⁻) in 66% yield. Protonation of the Cs⁺4⁻ salt with concentrated H₂SO₄ or CF₃COOH in CH₂Cl₂ afforded a new, highly volatile 2-CB₆H₈ (4) carborane in 95% yield, the deprotonation of which with Et₃N in CH₂Cl₂ leads quan-

Keywords: boranes • boron degradation • carboranes • density functional calculations • monocarbaboranes (Et₃NH⁺4⁻). Both compounds 4⁻ and 4 can be deboronated through treatment with concentrated hydrochloric acid in CH₂Cl₂ to yield the carbahexaborane *nido*-2-CB₅H₉ (5) in 60% yield. New compounds 2⁻, 3, and 4 were structurally characterised by the ab initio/ GIAO/MP2/NMR method. The method gave superior results to those carried out using GIAO-HF when relating the calculated ¹¹B NMR chemical shifts to experimental data.

to

 $Et_3NH^+[2-CB_6H_7]^-$

 $CB_6H_7]^-$, *nido*-2- CB_5H_9 , and *arachno*-4- CB_7H_{13} . The syntheses are based on reductive degradation of the 1- CB_8H_{12} cage and significantly extend the area of monocarbaborane chemistry.

titatively

Results and Discussion

Syntheses: Treatment of the *nido*-1-CB₈H₁₂ (1) carborane^[1,3] with NaBH₄ in THF at ambient temperature for 2 h, followed by evaporation of the volatile materials led to the isolation of a new, stable borane [*arachno*-5-CB₈H₁₃]⁻ (2⁻, Scheme 1 path a), which was isolated as its sodium salt (THF solvate), Na⁺[5-CB₈H₁₃]⁻·1.5 THF, (Na⁺2⁻·1.5 THF), in practically quantitative yield. Precipitation of the sodium salt with aqueous PPh₄Cl afforded PPh₄⁺[5-CB₈H₁₃]⁻ in 95% yield as a white solid. The formation of 2⁻ is in agreement with the stoichiometry of Equation (1):

$$\frac{1 - CB_8H_{12} + BH_4^- + THF \rightarrow [5 - CB_8H_{13}]^- + THF \cdot BH_3}{1 \qquad 2^-}$$
(1)

The most probable mechanism of this simple reaction consists in the H^- attack at the B2 vertex in **1**, which results in

© 2008 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim





Scheme 1. Reductive degradation of the nido-1-CB₈H₁₂ (1) cage. a) NaBH₄/THF, RT; b) conc. H₂SO₄/CH₂Cl₂, 0°C; c) Na⁺ salt, aq. HCl/ CH₂Cl₂; d) excess PhC₂H/THF, reflux; e) Cs⁺ salt, F₃CCOOH or conc. H₂SO₄/CH₂Cl₂; f) Et₃N/CH₃Cl₂; g) and h) aq. HCl/CH₂Cl₂.

opening of the μ -H2,3 bridge and formation of two BH₂ units in positions 2 and 4 (for numbering see Figure 1) of



Figure 1. The structure of the $[arachno-5-CB_8H_{13}]^-$ (2⁻) as optimized at the RMP2(fc)/6-31G* level. Selected bond lengths [Å] and bond angles [°]: B4–C5 1.658, B4–B9 2.023, B8–B9 1.789, B4–C5–B6 114.3, C5–B4–B9 99.6, B7–B8–B9 105.2.

the cluster. The reaction in Equation (1) represents an example of *nido* \rightarrow *arachno* conversion and is similar to that reported for the formation of the ligand derivatives 4-L-arachno-5-CB₈H₁₂.^[5]

The acidification of 2^{-} (Na⁺ or PPh₄ salts) with concentrated H₂SO₄ in CH₂Cl₂ at 0°C (Scheme 1 path b) resulted in hydrogen evolution and formation of carborane 1 in $\approx 90\%$ yield [Eq. (2)]:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5 - CB_8 H_{13} \end{bmatrix}^- + H^+ \to 1 - CB_8 H_{12} + H_2 \\ 2^- \qquad 1$$
 (2)

In contrast to the reaction in Equation (2), similar treatment with concentrated aqueous HCl (Scheme 1 path c) afforded the previously reported^[6] carborane *arachno*-4-CB₇H₁₃ (**3**) in 70% yield [Eq. (3)]:

$$\begin{array}{c} [5\text{-}CB_8H_{13}]^- + H_3O^+ + 2H_2O \rightarrow 4\text{-}CB_7H_{13} + B(OH)_3 + H_2\\ 2^- & 3 \end{array} \tag{3}$$

Scheme 1 suggests that the formation of the eight-vertex carborane **3** is consistent with hydrolytic removal of one BH₂ vertex (4 or 6) from structure 2^- . For further reactions, it is more convenient to use the CH₂Cl₂ solution of compound **3** as it is obtained from the synthesis, owing to relatively high volatility of this carborane. No doubt that this synthesis is much more convenient than the previously reported multistep procedure.^[6]

The synthesis shown in path d) of Scheme 1 is very interesting and useful. The reaction between 2^- (generated in situ in the reaction in Equation (1)) and excess phenyl acetylene in refluxing THF for 6 h, followed by evaporation, precipitation with aqueous PPh₄Cl, and crystallization gave the [*closo*-2-CB₆H₇]⁻ (4⁻) ^[4] in 66 % yield [Eq. (4)]:

$$[5-CB_8H_{13}]^- + 2 PhC_2H \rightarrow [2-CB_6H_7]^- + 2 \{PhCH=CHBH_2\}$$

$$2^- \qquad 4^- \text{ not isolated}$$
(4)

Mechanistically, the reaction is in agreement with removal of the B4 and B6 vertices from structure **2**, followed by closure of the rest of the skeleton through the connecting atom B5 with C7, B8, and B9. The two vertices are most probably removed as BH₃ groups via hydroboration of the phenyl acetylene,^[7] but the {PhCH=CHBH₂} hydroboration product was not isolated and its fate was not further traced as it was unimportant for the isolation of **4**⁻. Nevertheless, the reaction in Equation (4) represents undoubtedly the best access to the so far hardly available^[4] **4**⁻, and a considerable improvement of the recently published method.^[7]

Protonation of the Cs⁺4⁻ salt with concentrated H₂SO₄ or CF₃COOH in CH₂Cl₂ afforded the isolatable conjugated acid, a new carborane 2-CB₆H₈ (4, Scheme 1 path e), in a yield of 95% as a highly volatile material. Here again, for further reactions with 4, it is more convenient to use the CH₂Cl₂ solution of compound 4 obtained directly in the synthesis. Deprotonation of carborane 4 with Et₃N in CH₂Cl₂ (Scheme 1 path f) then leads quantitatively to Et₃NH⁺ [2-CB₆H₇]⁻ (Et₃NH⁺4⁻).

Paths g) and h) of Scheme 1 show that neither the sevenvertex 4^- nor the neutral 4 are stable towards acid hydrolysis. Reactions of both Cs⁺4⁻ and 4 with concentrated hydrochloric acid in CH₂Cl₂ (RT, 4 h) resulted in the "decapitation" of the B1 vertex under the formation of the previously reported^[8] carbahexaborane *nido*-2-CB₅H₉ (**5**) in 60% yield as a sole product:

$$[2-CB_6H_7]^- + H_3O^+ + 2H_2O \rightarrow 2-CB_5H_9 + B(OH)_3 + H_2$$

 4^- 5
(5)

The best preparation of carborane **5** thus far reported (19% yield) is based on treatment of an anion obtained from the reaction between Me_4NBH_4 and *closo*-2,5-C₂B₆H₈ with HCl. The reaction also yields methylated derivatives of

6530

FULL PAPER

5 and pure products must be isolated by gas chromatography.^[8] In contrast, the procedure according to Equation (5) is clean and, therefore, improves substantially the access and availability to this carborane. Other procedures are much less convenient, e.g., just 2.4% of **5** originates from the pyrolysis of 1,2-dimethylpentaborane(9).^[9]

NMR spectroscopy: The known compounds 3, 4⁻, and 5 were identified by ¹¹B NMR spectroscopy^[4,6,8] and the missing ¹H NMR data for carboranes 3 and 5 were completed and updated. All ¹¹B and ¹H NMR resonances were interrelated by [¹¹B-¹¹B]-COSY^[10] and ¹H-{¹¹B(selective)}^[11] NMR spectroscopy, which led to the complete assignments of all signals to individual BH cluster vertices. In accord with the $C_{\rm s}$ symmetry structure (see Scheme 1 and Figure 1), the ¹¹B NMR spectrum of 2⁻ consists of 2:1:2:1 patterns of doublets and one intensity 2 triplet as a result of the two equivalent BH₂ vertices at the B4,6 sites. The ¹¹B NMR spectrum of the C_s -symmetry compound 4 shows 2:2:2 patterns of doublets, of which the second, assigned to positions B4,5 associated with the bridging hydrogen atom, is markedly broadened owing to the coupling to this bridge. The spectrum shows notable similarity to that of 4⁻, the most remarkable difference is the upfield shift ($\approx 9 \text{ ppm}$) of the B4,5 resonance.

The ¹H–{¹¹B} NMR spectrum of 2^{-} shows four 2:1:2:1 singlets assigned to BH units in positions H7,9, H8, H1,2, and H3, one singlet of the cage CH5 unit, two singlets of intensity 2 attributed to *exo* and *endo* components of the two identical cage BH₂ groups in 4,6 positions together with one high-field singlet of intensity 2 owed to the two identical B–H–B bridges. The spectrum of the neutral carborane **4** exhibits one singlet of the cage CH unit, three singlets of intensity 2 assigned to BH units at the H3,6, H4,5, and H1,7 sites together with a broader singlet in an exceptionally low-field (4.10 ppm) owed to the bridging μ -H4,5 hydrogen.

Geometry optimization and magnetic property calculations: The optimized geometries of compounds 2^- , 3, and 4 at the at the RMP2(fc)/6-31G* level are shown in Figure 1, Figure 2, Figure 3. The RMP2(fc)/6-31G* geometry of 5 has



Figure 2. The structure of $arachno-4-CB_7H_{13}$ (3) as optimized at the RMP2(fc)/6-31G* level. Selected bond lengths [Å] and bond angles [°]: B5–B6 1.980, B1–B3 1.808, C4–B5 1.782, C4–B1 1.687, B1–C4–B5 117.0, B8–B7–B6 108.7.



Figure 3. The structure of *closo*-2-CB₆H₈ (4) as optimized at the RMP2(fc)/6-31G^{*} level. Selected bond lengths [Å] and bond angles [°]: B4–B5 1.701, B3–B4 1.664, C2–B3 1.528, C2–B1 1.696, B4–B5–B6 105.5, B6–C2–B3 115.7.

been already reported.^[12] The structure of 2^{-} is consistent with a $C_{\rm s}$ -symmetry nine-vertex *arachno* cluster containing two BH₂ vertices and two bridging hydrogen atoms symmetrically arranged along the symmetry plane intersecting the C5, B3, and B8 atoms and bisecting the B1–B2 bonding vector. The structure of compound **4** optimized as a $C_{\rm s}$ -symmetry cluster is similar to that of 4^{-} ,^[4] but with boron atoms B4 and B5 spanned by a *conventional* hydrogen bridge. Comparison between the ¹¹B shifts calculated at the GIAO-MP2/II//RMP2(fc)/6-31G* level and the corresponding experimental data for all compounds^[13] revealed a very good agreement (see the Experimental Section), which can be taken as a proof of correct structure design. The same comparison between experimental and calculated ¹³C shifts is less satisfactory, but falls within usual limits.

Conclusion

This paper is a targeted continuation the previously reported^[1] boron-degradation sequence $nido-B_{10}H_{14} \rightarrow [arachno-6-CB_9H_{14}]^- \rightarrow arachno-4-CB_8H_{14} \rightarrow nido-1-CB_8H_{12}$. We have now demonstrated that this system demolition game can be extended in the $nido-1-CB_8H_{12} \rightarrow [arachno-5-CB_8H_{13}]^- \rightarrow arachno-4-CB_7H_{13} \rightarrow [closo-2-CB_6H_7]^- \rightarrow closo-2-CB_6H_8 \rightarrow nido-2-CB_5H_9$ manner, with the overall loss of five boron

atoms from the original decaborane cage. A key step in these syntheses is based on the reduction of $1-CB_8H_{12}$ to the new, stable $[5-CB_8H_{13}]^-$, which is isomeric with the previously reported,^[3,19] $[4-CB_8H_{13}]^-$. An important aspect of the work is a relatively facile access to the so far hardly available^[4,6,8] eight, seven and six-vertex carboranes $4-CB_7H_{13}$, $[2-CB_6H_7]^-$, and $2-CB_5H_9$. Moreover, two of the compounds of the series, $[5-CB_8H_{13}]^-$ and $2-CB_6H_8$, are new carboranes, which demonstrates that there are still reasonable chances for the isolation of new-type skeletons in the area of clusterboron chemistry. There is no doubt that the compounds isolated in this study will be employed soon by a vast community of chemists as substrates for substitution reactions and various metallacarborane syntheses. Of special importance might be the use of the now readily available *closo* [2-

Chem. Eur. J. 2008, 14, 6529-6533

www.chemeurj.org

 ${\rm CB}_6{\rm H}_7]^-$ as a new ligand in the closely watched area of weakly coordinating anions. $^{[20]}$

Experimental Section

General procedures: All reactions were carried out with the use of standard vacuum or inert-atmosphere techniques as described by Shriver,^[14] although some operations, such as column LC, were carried out in air. The starting carborane 1 was prepared according to the literature.^[1,3] THF was distilled over sodium diphenylketyl; dichloromethane and hexane were dried over CaH_2 and freshly distilled before use. Other chemicals were of reagent or analytical grade and were used as purchased. Analytical TLC was carried out on Silufol (silica gel on aluminum foil; detection by I2 vapour, followed by 2% aqueous AgNO3 spray). Low-resolution mass spectra were obtained by using a Finnigan MAT Magnum ion-trap quadrupole mass spectrometer equipped with a heated inlet option, as developed by Spectronex AG, Basel, Switzerland (70 eV, EI ionisation). ¹H and ¹¹B NMR spectroscopy was performed at 9.4 T by means of a Varian Mercury 400 instrument. The [¹¹B-¹¹B]-COSY^[10] and ¹H-{¹¹B(selective)}^[11] NMR experiments were made essentially as described earlier.^[7] Chemical shifts are given in ppm to high-frequency (low field) of $\Xi = 32.083971$ MHz (nominally $F_3B \cdot OEt_2$ in CDCl₃) for ¹¹B (quoted ± 0.5 ppm), $\Xi = 25.144$ MHz (SiMe₄) for ¹³C (quoted ± 0.5 ppm), and $\Xi = 100$ MHz (SiMe₄) for ¹H (quoted ± 0.05 ppm), Ξ is defined as in ref. [15] and the solvent resonances were used as internal secondary standards.

Synthesis of [*arachno*-5-CB₈H₁₃]⁻ (2⁻): A solution of compound 1 (250 mg, 2.26 mmol) in THF (20 mL) was treated with NaBH₄ (100 mg, 2.64 mmol) under stirring at room temperature for 2 h. The mixture was filtered and the volatile materials were removed from the filtrate by evaporation. The residue was vacuum dried at ambient temperature for 12 h to obtain Na⁺2⁻1.5THF (538 mg, 98%), which was analyzed by integrated NMR spectroscopy. The sodium salt can be converted into Cs⁺ or PPh₄⁺ salts (yields 90 and 95%, respectively) by dissolution in water and precipitation with aqueous CsCl or PPh₄Cl. The white precipitates thus obtained were isolated by filtration, washed by water, and dried in vacuo.

Analysis of $PPh_4^+2^-$: R_f 0.22 (3% MeCN/CH₂Cl₂); m.p. 290°C; ¹¹B NMR (128.3 MHz, CDCl₃, 25°C): δ =2.1 (d, ¹*J*(B,H)=140 Hz, 2B; B7.9), -0.9 (d, ¹*J*(B,H)=128 Hz, ¹*J*(B,B)=18 Hz, 1B; B8), -6.1 (d, ¹*J*-(B,H)=143 Hz, 2B; B1,2), -27.0 (t, ¹*J*(B,H)=119 Hz, 2B; B4,6), -57.2 ppm (d, ¹*J*(B,H)=147 Hz, 1B; B3), all theoretical [¹¹B-¹¹B]-COSY cross-peaks observed, except for B7–B8 and B8–B9; δ (¹¹B)_{calcd} (GIAO-MP2/III/RMP2(fc)/6-31G^{*})=1.4 (B7,9), -2.5 (B8), -5.3 (B1,2), -26.8 (B4,6), -58.9 ppm (B3); ¹H-{¹¹B} NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25°C): δ = 3.17 (s, 1H; H8), 2.91 (s, 2H; H7,9), 2.31 (s, 2H; H1,2), 1.05 (s, 2H; *exo*-H4,6), 0.65 (s, 1H; H5), 0.51 (s, 2H; *endo*-H4,6), -0.89 (s, 1H; H3), -2.01 ppm (s, 2H; µH7,8/8,9); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃, 25°C): δ =10.7 ppm; δ (¹³C)_{calcd} (GIAO-MP2/II//RMP2(fc)/6-31G^{*})=13.6 ppm (C5); elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₂₅H₃₃₁B₈P (451.04): C 66.57, H 7.37; found: C 65.38 H 7.02.

Synthesis of arachno-4-CB₇H₁₃ (3): A mixture Na⁺2⁻1.5 THF (243 mg, 1 mmol) and CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) was treated with conc. hydrochloric acid (2 mL) under stirring and cooling at 0°C for 2 h. The CH₂Cl₂ layer was separated, dried with MgSO₄, and filtered through a short layer of silica. Evaporation of the filtrate under high vacuum at -15°C gave 71 mg, (70%) of compound **3**, which was isolated as a semisolid white material. Owing to the relatively high volatility and air sensitivity of **3**, it is better to use the filtered CH₂Cl₂ solution of compound **3** for further use.

Analysis of **3**: ¹¹B NMR (128.3 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ =8.5 (d, ¹*J*(B,H)= 161 Hz, 1B; B7), 3.5 (d, ¹*J*(B,H)=155 Hz, 1B; B6), -0.4 (d, ¹*J*(B,H)= 151 Hz, 1B; B3), -5.8 (d, ¹*J*(B,H)= \approx 155 Hz 1B; B1), -6.6 (d, ¹*J*-(B,H)= \approx 155 Hz, 1B; B8), -15.0 (t, ¹*J*(B,H)=131 Hz, 1B; B5), -55.3 ppm (d, ¹*J*(B,H)=156 Hz, 1B; B2), all theoretical [¹¹B-¹¹B]-COSY cross-peaks observed, except for B3-B8; δ (¹¹B)_{caled} (GIAO-MP2/II// RMP2(fc)/6-31G^{*})=9.2 (B7), 3.8 (B6), -1.2 (B3), -3.5 (B1), -7.0 (B8), −12.2 (B5), −55.4 ppm (B2); ¹H−[¹¹B] NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ=3.80 (s, 1H; H7), 3.53 (s, 1H; H5), 3.39 (s, 1H; H3), 2.99 (s, 1H; H1), 2.52 (s, 1H; H8), 2.18 (s, 2H; *exo* and *endo*-H5), 0.64 (s, 2H; *exo* and *endo*-H4), −0.35 (s, 1H; H2), −1.03 (s, 1H; μ-H3,8), −1.81 (s, 1H; μ-H6,7), −2.19 ppm (s, 1H; μ-H7,8); ¹³C[¹H] NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ=−16.8 ppm (C4); δ (¹³C)_{caled} (GIAO-MP2/II//RMP2(fc)/6-31G^{*})=−12.2 ppm (C4).

Synthesis of $[closo-2-CB_6H_7]^-$ (4⁻): Phenyl acetylene (1615 mg, 15.8 mmol) was added to the filtered THF solution of Na⁺2⁻ salt obtained in the first experiment and the mixture was heated at reflux for 72 h. The solvents were then evaporated and the residue digested with CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) and water (20 mL) under cooling. The aqueous layer was then precipitated with CsCl (863 mg, 2.3 mmol) under cooling at 0°C to isolate (323 mg, 66% based on 1 used) of Cs⁺4⁻, which was dried in vacuo and identified by NMR spectroscopy as reported earlier.^[4]

Closo-2-CB₆H₈ (4) and its re-conversion to 4[−]: A suspension of Cs⁺4[−] (300 mg, 1.38 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) was treated with F₃CCOOH (160 mg, 1.40 mmol) at 0 °C for 2 h under stirring. The mixture was filtered through a short layer of silica and then fractionated between -78 °C and -196 °C traps. The -78 °C trap contained 111 mg (95%) of compound 4, which was isolated as a white semisolid material. Owing to the very high volatility and air sensitivity of 4, it is better to use the filtered CH₂Cl₂ solution of compound 4 for further use. Treatment of this solution with a slight excess of triethylamine, followed by evaporation and drying in vacuo led to the isolation of Et₃NH⁺4[−] (252 mg, 98%).

Analysis of **4**: R_f (hexane) 0.1 ; ¹¹B NMR (128.3 MHz, CDCl₃, 25°C): $\delta = 3.5$ (d, ¹*J*(B,H) = 187 Hz, 2 B; B3,6), -9.4 (d, ¹*J*(B,H) = 143 Hz, 2 B; B4,5), -22.8 ppm (d, ¹*J*(B,H) = 174 Hz, 2 B; B1,7), all theoretical [¹¹B-¹¹B]-COSY cross-peaks observed; δ (¹¹B)_{caled} (GIAO-MP2/II//RMP2(fc)/6-31G^{*}) = 3.2 (B3,6), -11.9 (B4,5), -23.2 ppm (B1,7); ¹H[¹¹B] NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25°C): $\delta = 5.39$ (s, 1 H; H2), 4.67 (s, 2 H; H3,6), 4.45 (s, 2 H; H4,5), 4.10 (s, 1 H; μ -H4,5), -0.32 ppm (s, 2 H; H1,7). MS: *m*/*z*: (%) = 86 (68, [*M*]⁺), 85 (100, [*M*-H]⁺); ¹³C[¹H] NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃, 25°C): $\delta = 60.1$ ppm (C2); δ (¹³C)_{caled} (GIAO-MP2/II//RMP2(fc)/6-31G^{*}) = 65.3 ppm (C2).

Synthesis of *nido*-2-CB₅H₉ (5): A suspension of Cs^+4^- (300 mg, 1.38 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) was treated with with conc. hydrochloric acid (2 mL) under stirring and cooling at 0°C for 4 h. The CH₂Cl₂ layer was separated, dried with MgSO₄ and filtered trough a short layer of silica. Fractionation between -78 °C and -196 °C traps gave 62 mg (60%) of compound 5 which was isolated as a white semisolid material from the -78 °C trap. Owing to the very high volatility and air sensitivity of 5, it is better to use the filtered CH₂Cl₂ solution of compound 5 for further use.

Analysis of **5**: $R_{\rm f}$ (hexane) 0.15 ; ¹¹B NMR (128.3 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): $\delta = 15.7$ (dd, ¹*J*(B,H)=162 Hz, ¹*J*(B,\mu-H)=31 Hz, 2B; B3,6), -4.9 (dd, ¹*J*-(B,H)=156 Hz, ¹*J*(B,\mu-H)=22 Hz, ¹*J*(B,B)=18 Hz, 2B; B4,5), -53.2 ppm (d, ¹*J*(B,H)=165 Hz, 2B; B1), all theoretical [¹¹B-¹¹B]-COSY cross-peaks observed; δ (¹¹B)_{caled} (GIAO-MP2/II//RMP2(fc)/6-31G*)=15.6 (B3,6), -4.8 (B4,5), -54.5 (B1); ¹H[¹¹B] NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25°C): $\delta = 5.43$ (s, 1H; H2), 4.50 (s, 2H; H3,6), 3.46 (s, 2H; H4,5), -0.26 (s, 1H; μ -H4,5), -1.09 (s, 1H; H1), -2.16 ppm (s, 2H; μ -H3,4 and 5,6); ¹³C[¹H] NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃, 25°C): $\delta = 101.3$ ppm (C2); δ (¹³C)_{caled} (GIAO-MP2/II//RMP2(fc)/6-31G*)=110.6 ppm (C2).

Geometry optimization and magnetic property calculations: Both initial geometry optimizations (under symmetry restrictions as mentioned above) of 2^- , 3, and 4 and the corresponding frequency calculations were performed at a Hartree–Fock level of theory using a basis set of $6^{-31}G^{+,16|}$ The latter calculations determined the nature of the stationary points. The minima were characterised with zero imaginary frequencies. The final geometry optimizations of these clusters were run at the RMP2(fc)/6-31G* level and the results are shown in Figure 1, Figure 2, Figure 3. The calculations used the Gaussian03 program package^[17] and were performed on a Fujitsu–Siemens PC. The latter geometries were used for calculations of chemical shieldings. They were calculated first at a SCF level with the GIAO method and employed the II Huzinaga basis set.^[18] The final level of the computations of chemical shieldings was GIAO-MP2 with the same basis set.

6532

FULL PAPER

Acknowledgements

The work was supported by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic (project no. 203/05/2646) and Ministry of Education and Sports of the Czech Republic (project no. LC523).

- B. Brellochs in *Contemporary Boron Chemistry*, (Eds.: M. G. Davidson, A. K. Hughes, K. Wade), Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, UK, **2000**, pp. 212–214. T. Jelínek, B. Štíbr, J. Holub, M. Bakardjiev, D. Hnyk, D. L Ormsby, C. A. Kilner, M. Thornton-Pett, H.-J. Schanz, B. Wrackmeyer, J. D Kennedy, *Chem. Commun.* **2001**, 1756–1757; B. Brellochs, J. Baèkovský, B. Štíbr, T. Jelínek, J. Holub, M. Bakardjiev, D. Hnyk, M. Hofmann, I. Císařová, B. Wrackmeyer, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2004**, 3605–3611.
- [2] T. Jelínek, C. A. Kilner, M. Thornton-Pett, J. D. Kennedy, *Chem. Commun.* 2001, 1790–1791; A. Franken, C. A. Kilner, M. Thornton-Pett, J. D. Kennedy, *Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun.* 2002, 67, 869–912; T. Jelínek, M. Thornton-Pett, J. D. Kennedy, *Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun.* 2002, 67, 1035-; A. Franken, C. A. Kilner, M. Thornton-Pett, J. D. Kennedy, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 2002, 657, 180–186; A. Franken, T. Jelínek, R. G. Taylor, D. L. Ormsby, C. A. Kilner, W. Clegg, J. D. Kennedy, *Dalton Trans.* 2005, 5753–5769.
- [3] K. Baše, S. Heřmánek, B. Štíbr, Chem. Ind. 1977, 951–952; K. Baše,
 B. Štíbr, J. Dolanský, J. Duben, Collect. Czech.Chem. Commun. 1981, 45, 2345–2353; S. Heřmánek, J. Fusek, B. Štíbr, J. Plešek, T. Jelínek, Polyhedron 1986, 5, 1873–1879.
- [4] B. Štíbr, O. L. Tok, W. Milius, M. Bakardjiev, J. Holub, D. Hnyk, B. Wrackmeyer, Angew. Chem. 2002, 114, 2230–2232; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 2126–2128.
- [5] B. Štíbr, J. Holub, M. Bakardjiev, W. Milius, B. Wrackmeyer, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 3601–3604.
- [6] J. Plešek, T. Jelínek, B. Štíbr, S. Heřmánek, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1988, 348–349.
- [7] B. Štíbr, J. Holub, M. Bakardjiev, Z. Janoušek, *Dalton Trans.* 2007, 581–584.
- [8] G. B. Dunks, M. F. Hawthorne, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 7355– 7356; G. B. Dunks, M. F. Hawthorne, Inorg. Chem. 1969, 8, 2667– 2669.
- [9] E. Groszek, J. B. Leach, G. T. F. Wong, Ch. Ungermann, T. Onak, *Inorg. Chem.* **1971**, *9*, 2770–2773.
- [10] See, for example: J.D. Kennedy in *Multinuclear NMR* (Ed.: J. Mason), Plenum Press, New York, **1987**, p. 221; W. C. Hutton, T. L. Venable, R. N. Grimes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, *106*, 29–37; J. Schraml, J. M. Bellama, *Two-Dimensional NMR Spectroscopy*, Wiley, New York, **1982**, and references therein.
- [11] X. L. R. Fontaine, J. D. Kennedy, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1987, 1573–1575.

- [12] M. Bühl, P. von R. Schleyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 477–491. Note that reported ab initio/IGLO/NMR results are consistent with our ab initio/GIAO/NMR computations.
- [13] Interestingly, both calculated and experimental ¹¹B NMR spectra of **4**⁻ and **4** are in good accord, with the exception of the B4,5 shifts. This pair of atoms in **4** exhibits an upfield shift of ≈ 10 ppm with respect to that in **4**⁻, see ref. [4]. Conversely, "computational removal" of the B(7)-H-B(8) hydrogen bridge from [µ-6,9-O-*arachno*-5,10-C₂B₈H₁₁]⁻ and subsequent GIAO-MP2/II//RMP2(fc)/6-31G* calculations on the resulting dianion provided entirely different ¹¹B NMR patterns as compared to those of the experimentally available monoanion. For an excellent agreement between the computed and measured chemical shifts of the latter anion see: D. Hnyk, J. Holub, *Dalton Trans.* **2006**, 2620–2622. References to other recent applications of this structural tool are given therein.
- [14] D. F. Shriver, M. A. Drezdon, *Manipulation of Air Sensitive Compounds*, 2nd Edn., Wiley, New York, **1986**.
- [15] W. McFarlane, Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. A 1968, 306, 185-190.
- [16] W. J. Hehre, R. Ditchfield, J. A. Pople, J. Chem. Phys. 1972, 45, 2257; P. C. Hariharan, J. A. Pople, Theor. Chim. Acta 1973, 28, 213–222; M. S. Gordon, Chem. Phys. Lett. 1980, 75, 163–168.
- [17] M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., T. Vreven, K. N. Kudin, J. C. Burant, J. M. Millam, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, M. Cossi, G. Scalmani, N. Rega, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, M. Klene, X. Li, J. E. Knox, H. P. Hratchian, J. B. Cross, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, P. Y. Ayala, K. Morokuma, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, V. G. Zakrzewski, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, M. C. Strain, O. Farkas, D. K. Malick, A. D. Rabuck, K. Raghavachari, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, Q. Cui, A. G. Baboul, S. Clifford, J. Cioslowski, B. B. Stefanov, G. Liu, A. Liashenko, P. Piskorz, I. Komaromi, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, T. Keith, M. A. Al-Laham, C. Y. Peng, A. Nanayakkara, M. Challacombe, P. M. W. Gill, B. Johnson, W. Chen, M. W. Wong, C. Gonzalez, J. A. Pople, Gaussian 03, Revision B.03, Gaussian Inc., Pittsburgh PA, 2003.
- [18] S. Huzinaga (Ed.), Gaussian Basis Sets for Molecular Calculations, Elsevier, New York, NY, 1984.
- [19] E. Wille, J. Plešek, J. Holub, B. Štíbr, P. J. Carroll, L. G. Sneddon, *Inorg. Chem.* **1996**, *35*, 5342–5346.
- [20] See, for example: C. A. Reed, Acc. Chem. Res. 1998, 31, 133–139;
 S. H. Strauss, Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 927–942.

Received: February 6, 2008 Published online: May 30, 2008